UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/070,056	11/08/2002	Bunji Mizuno	29288.5300	9743
	7590 09/19/200 MER L.L.P. (Main)	EXAMINER		
400 EAST VAN	N BUREN	ADE, OGER GARCIA		
ONE ARIZONA CENTER PHOENIX, AZ 85004-2202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3687	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/19/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/070,056	MIZUNO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	GARCIA ADE	3687			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutoreriod Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 A This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 17-23 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrage 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 17-23 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	awn from consideration.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal R 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/070,056 Page 2

Art Unit: 3687

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on /21/2008 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 17-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The newly added limitations of "energized time", "predetermined lifetime", "energized state", "ON state", "three-terminal", "conclusion state", "arranged in parallel" are not supported

Application/Control Number: 10/070,056 Page 3

Art Unit: 3687

by the specification. For purpose of applying prior art the Examiner will interpret the phrase to as no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claims 17-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over St. John [US 2001/0056349 A1], and further in view of Rousseau et al. [US 2002/0001001].

As per claims 17, 20-23, St. John discloses a television device [see paragraph 39 (e.g. *device 3762-2* may be a television)] comprising: a recording device means for measuring and storing an energized time, the recording device

means having a transistor [paragraph 39, via *device 3762-1* may be a video cassette recorder], wherein when a main power of the television device is at an ON state, the transistor is energized and an energized state of the transistor is recorded [see paragraph 238-241, via transistor Q1-Q3].

St. John discloses all the elements per claimed invention as explained above. St. John does not explicitly disclose estimating a lifetime of the television device, and a predetermined lifetime. However, Rousseau discloses estimating a lifetime of the television device [see abstract, and claim 13].

Therefore it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Grenchus to the teaching of St. John in order to provide a commodity recycling process capable of effectively managing obsolete and unwanted products by rapidly determining the optimum level of disassembly to employ [see summary of the invention].

As per claim 18, St. John discloses the television device comprises a communication means and transmits a record of the measured energized state outside of the television device [see paragraph 39 (e.g. *device 3762-2* may be a television)].

As per claims 19, St. John discloses the transistor is a three-terminal transistor [see paragraph 191, as illustrated in figure 9, that shows a selection of four capacitors 910, 912, 914 and 916, each having one terminal connected to a fixed contact of the switch and the other terminal connected to ground]; a source or a drain of the transistor is connected to a constant-current circuit [see paragraph 241]; and when the main power of the

Art Unit: 3687

television device is at an ON state, a control signal is input to a gate to have the transistor in a conduction state [see paragraph 238-241, via transistor Q1-Q3].

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 17-23 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GARCIA ADE whose telephone number is (571)272-5586. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM - 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew S. Gart can be reached on 571.272.3955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to

Application/Control Number: 10/070,056 Page 6

Art Unit: 3687

the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or

571-272-1000.

Garcia Ade Examiner Art Unit 3687

/Vanel Frenel/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3687

June 9, 2008

Application Number

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
10/070,056	MIZUNO ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
GARCIA ADE	3687